

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

ZAMLEREN NAMED INVENTOR SERVENUE DE 用物价品的 EXAMINER
DALEY #K LITMAN, MC MAHON AND BROWN 1500 DNE KANSAS CITY PLACE 1200 MAIN STREET 40f 0t 1AF 1AG A KANSAB CITY, MI 64105 4.7 4.6 01/17/90° For Girls can apply the control of t This epplication has been exemined Responsive to communication filled on _______ This ection is made linet. A shortened stetutory period for response to this ection is set to expire___ Failure to respond within the period for response will ceuse the application to become ebandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Exeminer, PTO-892.
 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
 Notice of Informel Petent Application, Form PTO-152. 5. Informetion on How to Effect Drewing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. 🗓 Ctalms / -/8 are pending in the epplication. are withdrewn from consideration. 2. Claims_ 3. Claims ___ 6. Claims ere subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informel drewings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which ere eccepteble for examinetion purposes. 8. Formal drawings ere required in response to this Office ection. 9. The corrected or substitute drewings heve been received on _____ are \square ecceptable. \square not ecceptable (see explenation or Notice re Petent Drewing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed edditional or substitute sheet(s) of drewings, flied on ______ has (heve) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. \square The proposed drewing correction, filed on _______, has been \square approved. \square disapproved (see explanation). 12. \square Acknowledgment is mede of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \square been received \square not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _ 13.
Since this application eppears to be in condition for ellowence except for formel metters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the prectice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 6-88)

Serial No. 332699

Art Unit 336

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9, 11-12, 14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Groves.

Groves discloses a wound dressing comprising wound covering means (20) with an intermediate layer (5), adhesive releaseably attaching means (6), an opening (7) communicating with the skin, and a tube (8) communicating with the skin. Groves also has means for withdrawing fluid from the sight (see col. 3, line 34).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller.

Miller discloses a wound dressing comprising a wound cover

(c) with an intermediate layer (b), and opening (f) and a tube

(e). Miller discloses a suction port so it inherently possess a means for closing the tube.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that

Serial No. 332699

Art Unit 336

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 8, 10, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Errede et al.

Applicant differ from Groves by providing or the intermediate layers made of a hydrocolloid and a fibrin. Errede discloses a wound dressing which uses a hydrocolloid and a fibrin. It would have been obvious to make Groves dressing out of a hydrocolloid and a fibrin in view of Errede which teaches it is well known to do so.

In regard to claim 13 it is unclear whether the needle vent on Groves is resealable or not. Either way, it is well known to use resealable openings on medical tubes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to K. Daley at telephone number (703) 557-3125.

kad January 17, 1990

C. FRED ROSENBAUM

ART UNIT 336